

SATURDAY, MARCH 23, 2024

| 08:20-11:00 | Multiple sclerosis: drug therapy | HALL C |
|-------------|--|---------------------|
| Chair: | Jacek Losy, Poland; Dimitrios Karussis, Israel | 1 |
| 08:20-09:10 | Radiologically isolated syndrome (RIS): Disease modifying therapies (DMT) should only be started when symptoms have occurred | |
| | Capsule: RIS is considered a possible early indicator of MS or "pre-MS", but since no clinical symptoms have occurred, it is unknown | n how long one can |
| | have RIS without actually ever developing MS. With studies showing that more than half of RIS patients still remain symptom-free | for years+, there |
| | may not be a clear indication for starting therapy | |
| 08:20-08:30 | Moderator: Alicia Kalinowska, Poland | |
| | Introduction and Pre-Debate Voting | |
| 08:30-08:45 | Yes: <u>Agne Straukiene</u> , UK | |
| 08:45-09:00 | No: <u>Daniel Salo Reich</u> , USA | |
| 09:00-09:10 | Discussion, Rebuttals and Post-Debate Voting | |
| | | |
| 09:10-10:00 | DMT should be discontinued after prolonged stability | |
| | Capsule: There is a sense that in some patients, MS "burns out" and patients no longer have relapses or new MRI lesions. Since all | DMT are directed |
| | at reducing MS activity (relapse and MRI), it is perhaps reasonable to stop the therapy after a period of time when no MS activity | has been perceived. |
| 09:10-09:20 | Moderator: <u>Konrad Rejdak</u> , Poland | |
| | Introduction and Pre-Debate Voting | |
| 09:20-09:35 | Yes: <u>Per Soelberg Soerensen,</u> Denmark | |
| 09:35-09:50 | No: Klaus Schmierer, UK | |
| 09:50-10:00 | Discussion, Rebuttals and Post-Debate Voting | |
| | | |
| 10:00-11:00 | The central vein sign should be used as a diagnostic criterion | |
| | Capsule: The central vein sign (CVS) adds an "in vivo histology" marker to the pattern approach of the McDonald criteria, largely b | ased on lesion |
| | distribution in space and time. Through its apparent specificity for MS lesions, the CVS may enable a more accurate diagnosis, dep | |
| | McDonald-proviso of "no better explanation". However, is the evidence sufficiently strong, and MRI-technology available widely er | nough, to include |
| | the CVS in the standard set of criteria? | |
| 10:00-10:10 | Moderator: <u>Konrad Rejdak</u> , Poland | |
| | Introduction and Pre-Debate Voting | |
| 10:10-11:25 | Yes: <u>Declan Chard,</u> UK | |
| 10:25-10:45 | No : Daniel Salo Reich, USA | |
| 10:45-11:00 | Discussion, Rebuttals and Post-Debate Voting | |

1

| 14:30-16:10 | Multiple sclerosis 2 HALL C | |
|--------------|--|--|
| Chair: | Homa Ebrahimi, Iran | |
| 14:30-15:20 | Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) is a driver of ongoing MS disease activity | |
| | Capsule: EBV is a confirmed risk factor for the development of MS. However, does EBV re-activation play an important role even after the disease has been triggered? Recent evidence suggest that MS patients display aberrant EBV gene expression and regulation of both viral and cellular genes in E cells and dysregulated EBV latency. Antiviral treatments can ameliorate EBV replication, viral loads, lytic gene expression, and EBV-mediated inflammation suggesting that dysregulation of EBV latency drives MS activity. But is the evidence robust enough, and what are the counter arguments? | |
| 14:30-14:40 | Moderator: Floriana De Angelis, UK Introduction and Pre-Debate Voting | |
| 14:40-14:55 | Yes: Francesca Aloisi , Italy | |
| 14:55-15:10 | No : Gavin Giovannoni, UK | |
| 15:10-15:20 | Discussion, Rebuttals and Post-Debate Voting | |
| 15:20-16:10 | Multiple Sclerosis is progressive from onset | |
| | Capsule: The classical vision of MS characterized in more than 90% of cases by two sequential phases, an initial relapsing remitting course followed by a secondary progressive course is now challenged by the recent observation that a progression independent from relapse activity (PIRA) can be seen very early in the disease. So is MS always progressive from the beginning? | |
| 15:20-15:30 | Moderator: Per Soelberg Sorensen, Denmark Introduction and Pre-Debate Voting | |
| 15:30-15:45 | Yes: Floriana De Angelis, UK | |
| 15:45-16:00 | No: Giancarlo Comi , Italy | |
| 16:00-16:10 | Discussion, Rebuttals and Post-Debate Voting | |
| 16:30- 18:10 | MS : Diagnosis and pathogenesis HALL C | |
| Chair: | Ruth Itzhaki, UK | |
| 16:30-17:20 | Microglia are the main drivers of progressive MS | |
| | Capsule: Microglia are known for their involvement in the immune response within the central nervous system, and their activation may contribute to inflammation observed in MS. Activated microglia can release neurotoxic substances, potentially damaging surrounding neurons and exacerbating the progression of MS. On the other hand, MS is a complex and multifactorial disease with contributions from various immune cells, genetics, and environmental factors. In addition, microglia are a heterogeneous cell population and their functions can vary based on their activation state and include also neuroprotective effects. Focusing solely on microglia may overlook other crucial elements of MS pathogenesis and might oversimplify the complex nature of the disease. Are microglia indeed the main drivers of progressive MS? | |
| 16:30-16:40 | Moderator: <u>Ron Milo</u> , Israel Introduction and Pre-Debate Voting | |
| 16:40-16:55 | Yes: <u>Alicja Kalinowska,</u> Poland | |
| 16:55-17:10 | No: Sharmilee Gnanapavan, UK | |
| 17:10-17:20 | Discussion, Rebuttals and Post-Debate Voting | |

| 16:30- 18:10 | MS : Diagnosis and pathogenesis HALL C | |
|--------------|--|--|
| 17:20-18:10 | Enhancing recovery in MS – in cooperation with European Charcot Foundation (ECF) | |
| Chairman: | Giancarlo Comi, Italy Strategies to promote remyelination: <u>Hans Peter Hartung</u> , Germany Advances in neurorehabilitation: <u>Peter Feys</u> , Belgium Role of neuromodulation in enhancing recovery: Letizia Leocani, Italy | |
| 18:10 | Closing ceremony | |